## For the Northern District of California

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9		
10	ANIMAL LEGAL DEFENSE FUND, et al.,	No. C 12-05809 WHA
11	Plaintiffs,	
12	V.	ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION
13 14	HVFG LLC, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16	/	
17	Defendants' counsel requests an extension of ten days in which to file a motion to	
18	dismiss the complaint. This is unreasonable. The parties should be aware that extensions of any	
19	type generally will not be granted. It is disappointing, however, that counsel were not able to	
20	resolve this issue on their own by stipulation, particularly where there is no apparent prejudice to	
21	plaintiffs' counsel from a short extension. Moreover, given the questions raised by the	
22	complaint regarding standing, venue, and other issues suitable for a motion to dismiss, judicial	
23	efficiency favors robust briefing at this early stage.	
24	Defendant shall answer or file its motion no	later than FEBRUARY 1 AT NOON.
25		
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27	D . 1 . 1 . 20 . 2012	Was Alma
28	Dated: January 28, 2013.	V*

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE